

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,543	06/10/2005	Loren Lantz	M-1107	3681
54964 7590 06/12/2007 TYCO HEALTHCARE - EDWARD S. JARMOLOWICZ 15 HAMPSHIRE STREET			EXAMINER	
			TOWA, RENE T	
MANSFIELD, MA 02048			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,	3736	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/538,543	LANTZ ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Rene Towa	3736

Interview Summary	10/538,543	LANTZ ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rene Towa	3736				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Rene Towa.	(3) <u>James Harr</u> .					
(2) Edward Jarmolowicz.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>04 June 2007</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-12</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>US 3,738,173 to Sato</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant highlighted the nuances between Applicant's invention and the prior of Sato. Applicant further argued that Sato is not "capable" of working in the manner proposed by the Examiner. The Applicant further contended that Sato is not a mechanical equivalent of the Applicant's invention. Further consideration and/or review of said arguments will be given upon formal submission of a response and/or amendment.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Percent of Interview.						

FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required